

# On two-dimensional nonabsolute integration

Pedro Levit Kaufmann

São Paulo, Brazil

The problem of generalizing the Lebesgue integral in order to obtain a large class of functions  $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which satisfy

$$\int_a^b F' = F(b) - F(a). \quad (1)$$

is naturally extended to the case where the domain has two dimensions. It is desirable to define an integration process in some domain  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  which satisfy the following version of the divergence theorem:

$$\int_U \operatorname{div} F = \int_{\partial U} F \cdot N, \text{ for each differentiable } F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (2)$$

It is known that for the one-dimensional case we obtain full generality (that is, (1) holds for each differentiable  $F$ ) when we consider the equivalent Denjoy, Perron or Henstock-Kurzweil integrals (see e.g. [1]). The natural extension of the definition of the Henstock-Kurzweil integral for the two-dimensional case does not satisfy (2) even when  $U$  is an interval (that is, the cartesian product of compact intervals in the real line).

The present work includes a brief discussion on previous attempts on modifying the Henstock-Kurzweil definition in order to obtain (2). The discussion is centralized on the gauge-based definitions introduced in [2], [3] and [4]. We then present some old (maybe revisited under a different perspective) and new results that can be summarized as follows:

- The  $M_1$ -integral introduced in [4] has the unpleasant property of being sensitive to rotations; this is shown using an example found in [5]. As a subproduct, we prove that the two-dimensional Henstock-Kurzweil has the same property, independently from its equivalence to the two-dimensional Perron integral.
- Through an example from [4], we generalize a result from this article and show that *any* attempt on generalizing the two-dimensional Riemann integral in the interval in order to obtain (2) necessarily results in an integration process which fails to satisfy Fubini's theorem. (The incompatibility, at some level, between the divergence theorem and Fubini's theorem is well known and pointed out for example in [10])
- We propose a new definition of integral, based on the mentioned  $M_1$ -integral and private communications between the author and prof. Pavel Krejčí from the Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic:

**Definition 1.** Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a triangle and  $\delta$  be a gauge in  $I$ . We say that a (finite, nonempty) set of the form  $\mathcal{P} = \{(I^j, t^j)\}_{j \in \Gamma}$  is a  $\Delta$ -partition of  $I$  if  $\{I^j\}_{j \in \Gamma}$  is a partition of  $I$  into triangles with  $t^j \in I^j$  for each  $j \in \Gamma$ , and we say that it is  $\delta$ -fine when for each  $j \in \Gamma$  we have that  $I^j \subset B_{\delta(t^j)}(t^j)$ . The *irregularity* of  $\mathcal{P}$  is defined

by  $irr(\mathcal{P}) \doteq \sum_{j \in \Gamma} q(I^j)$ , where  $q(I^j) \doteq \text{perimeter}(I^j) \text{diameter}(I^j)$ , and for each given  $C > 0$ , we say that  $\mathcal{P}$  is  $C$ -regular when  $irr(\mathcal{P}) \leq C$ . We say that  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\Delta$ -integrable if there exists  $A \in \mathbb{R}$  such that, for each  $\epsilon > 0$  and each sufficiently large  $C > 0$ , there is a gauge  $\delta$  in  $I$  satisfying  $|\sum_{j \in \Gamma} f(t^j)|I^j| - A| < \epsilon$  for each  $\delta$ -fine,  $C$ -regular  $\Delta$ -partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{(I^j, t^j)\}_{j \in \Gamma}$  of  $I$ .

This way we obtain an integral which is strictly less general than the  $M_1$ -integral and admits a change of variables formula valid up to affine transformations. We prove basic and convergence properties for the  $\Delta$ -integral, study its relation with the Lebesgue integral, and propose some problems for further investigation.

## Acknowledgement

The research was supported by CNPq and CAPES.

## References

- [1] R. A. Gordon: The Integrals of Lebesgue, Denjoy, Perron and Henstock. Graduate Studies in Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [2] J. Jarník, J. Kurzweil: A nonabsolutely convergent integral which admits  $C^1$ -transformations. Časopis Pěst. Mat., 109:157–167, 1984.
- [3] J. Jarník, J. Kurzweil: A non absolutely convergent integral which admits transformation and can be used for integration on manifolds, Czechoslovak Math. J., Vol. 35 (1985), 116–139.
- [4] J. Jarník, J. Kurzweil, Š. Schwabik: On Mawhin’s approach to multiple non-absolutely convergent integral. Časopis Pěst. Mat., 108:356–380, 1983.
- [5] K. Karták: On multidimensional integration theory (K teorii vícerozměrného integrálu). Časopis Pěst. Mat., 80:400–414, 1955.
- [6] P. L. Kaufmann, R. Bianconi: Divergence Theorem versus Fubini’s Theorem for a general integration process. In preparation.
- [7] P. L. Kaufmann: Nonabsolute integration in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  using triangle-based partitions (Integração não absoluta em  $\mathbb{R}^2$  usando partições triangulares). PhD thesis, IME-USP, Univ. de São Paulo, 2009.
- [8] P. L. Kaufmann: On the effects of rotations for the  $M_1$  and the Henstock-Kurzweil integrals. In preparation.
- [9] P. L. Kaufmann: Triangle integral - a nonabsolute integration process suitable for piecewise linear manifolds. *To appear in Real Anal. Exchange.*
- [10] W. F. Pfeffer: The Riemann Approach to Integration. Cambridge Tracts in Math., 109. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1993.