

An intrinsic approach to kernels in general categories

Ülo Reimaa (ulo.reimaa@ut.ee)
University of Tartu

Abstract. Given a nice epimorphism $p: A \rightarrow B$, one would hope to be able to recover it from the data of its kernel. With that goal in mind, we consider a category $\mathbb{C}$ equipped with a coreflective subcategory $\mathbb{Z}$, so that a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \longrightarrow & d(B) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A & \xrightarrow{p} & B, \end{array}$$

where $d(B) \rightarrow B$ is the coreflection of B into $\mathbb{Z}$, exhibits the span $d(B) \leftarrow K \rightarrow A$ as the kernel of p . (Kernels in the classical sense are recovered when $\mathbb{Z}$ is the zero subcategory of a pointed category and $d(B) = 0$.) We require this pullback square to also be a pushout for every nice epimorphism p , which means asking that a nice epimorphism should be the cokernel of its kernel.

If we don't want the subcategory $\mathbb{Z}$ to be extra structure on top of $\mathbb{C}$, we can suppose that the smallest such subcategory $\mathbb{Z}$ (possibly satisfying some extra niceness conditions) exists, thus equipping the category $\mathbb{C}$ with a certain *intrinsic* notion of kernel. Additionally, under niceness assumptions, the data of the span $d(B) \leftarrow K \rightarrow A$ can be encoded into a pair (ρ, K) , with ρ an equivalence relation (internal to $\mathbb{Z}$) on $d(A)$, and $K \rightarrow A$ a subobject. This is in essence similar to *star kernels* [1] which also tie together kernels as subobjects with kernels as equivalence relations.

The intuition is that we try to minimize the subcategory $\mathbb{Z}$, so that the ρ component of the pair (ρ, K) is as trivial as possible. For a semi-abelian category $\mathbb{C}$, since the minimal $\mathbb{Z}$ is the zero subcategory, the equivalence relation ρ contains no information, meaning the kernel of $A \rightarrow B$ is solely encoded into a subobject $K \rightarrow A$.

On the other hand, for $\mathbb{C} = \mathbf{Set}$, the minimal $\mathbb{Z}$ is $\mathbf{Set}$ itself. In this case the kernel of $p: A \rightarrow B$ is (ρ, A) , with ρ the kernel pair of p and $A \rightarrow A$ always the largest subobject, therefore containing no information.

A motivating example that lies somewhere in-between is the category of inverse monoids, in which $\mathbb{Z}$ is not the zero subcategory, but instead the subcategory of semilattices, with $d(S) \subseteq S$ the semilattice of idempotent elements of the inverse monoid S . This approach then recovers the classical *kernel-trace* description [2, Section 5.1] of a surjective morphism of inverse monoids.

With $\mathbb{C}$ the category of unital rings, $\mathbb{Z}$ consists of the initial object, but note that $d(B) \rightarrow B$ and therefore $K \rightarrow A$ no longer need to be subobjects.

Finally we analyze this approach through the behavior of pullback squares of categories

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{K} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\text{cod}} & \mathbb{C}, \end{array}$$

pulling back a coreflective subcategory $\mathbb{Z}$ along the codomain fibration of nice epis.

References

- [1] M. Gran, Z. Janelidze, A. Ursini, *A good theory of ideals in regular multi-pointed categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 216 (2012), no. 8–9, 1905–1919.
- [2] L. M. Lawson, *Inverse semigroups*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1998.