Blow-up by aggregation in chemotaxis

Manuel del Pino

University of Bath

Singular problems associated to quasilinear equations, In honor of Marie Françoise Bidaut-Véron and Laurent Véron June 2nd, 2020 The Keller-Segel system in \mathbb{R}^2 .

$$(KS) \quad \begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (u \nabla v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, \infty), \\ v = (-\Delta)^{-1} u := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{1}{|x - z|} u(z, t) \, dz \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 \ge 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2. \end{cases}$$

is the classical diffusion model for **chemotaxis**, the motion of a population of bacteria driven by standard diffusion and a nonlocal drift given by the gradient of a chemoatractant, a chemical the bacteria produce.

u(x, t) = population density. v(x, t) = the chemoatractant

Basic properties.

For a regular solution u(x, t) defined up to a time T > 0,

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \nabla \cdot (u \nabla (\log u - v)) & \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T) \\ -\Delta v = u \end{cases}$$

• Conservation of mass

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(x,t) \, dx = \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{\partial B_R} u \nabla (\log u - v) \cdot v \, d\sigma$$
$$= \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{\partial B_R} (\nabla u \cdot v) - u(\nabla v \cdot v) \, d\sigma = 0$$

• The second moment identity. Let $M = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(x, t) dx$, then

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|x|^2u(x,t)\,dx = 4M\left(1-\frac{M}{8\pi}\right)$$

(ロ > 《 団 > 《 臣 > 《 臣 > ― 臣 ― のへで

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 u(x,t) \, dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 (\Delta u - \nabla \cdot (u \nabla v)) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta (|x|^2) u dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (2x \cdot \nabla v) u dx \\ &= 4M + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(x \cdot \nabla v) dx. \end{aligned}$$

From $v(\cdot, t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{|\cdot|} * u(\cdot, t)$ we get
 $-2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(x \cdot \nabla v) \, dx &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(x, t) u(y, t) \frac{x \cdot (x - y)}{|x - y|^2} dx \, dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(x, t) u(y, t) \frac{(x - y) \cdot (x - y)}{|x - y|^2} dx \, dy \\ &= \frac{M^2}{2\pi}. \end{aligned}$

Hence

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 u(x,t) \, dx = 4M - \frac{M^2}{2\pi}$$

Then, if the initial second moment is finite we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(x,t)|x|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(x,0)|x|^2 dx + 4M(1-\frac{M}{8\pi}) t.$$

As a consequence,

• If $M > 8\pi$ the solution cannot remain smooth beyond some time. u(x, t) blows-up in finite time.

• If $M = 8\pi$ The second moment of the solution is preserved in time.

• If $M < 8\pi$ second moment grows linearly in time while mass is preserved (as in heat equation): the solution "diffuses"

$$\left\{egin{array}{l} u_t=\Delta u-
abla\cdot(u
abla v)\ v=(-\Delta)^{-1}u:=rac{1}{2\pi}\lograc{1}{|\cdot|}*u\ u(\cdot,0)=u_0\geq 0. \end{array}
ight.$$

- If $M \leq 8\pi$ the solution exists classically at all times $t \in (0, \infty)$.
- If $M < 8\pi$ then u(x, t) goes to zero and spreads in self-similar way. (Blanchet-Dolbeault-Perthame (2006); Jäger-Luckhaus (1992).)

• If $M > 8\pi$ blow-up is expected to take place by **aggregation** which means that at a finite time u(x, t) concentrates and forms a set of Dirac masses with mass at least 8π at a blow-up point.

 \bullet Examples of blow-up with precise asymptotics, and mass slightly above 8π were found by

• Herrero-Velázquez (1996), Velázquez (2002, 2006) Raphael and Schweyer (2014).

• Collot-Ghoul-Masmoudi-Nguyen (2019): New method, precise asymptotics and nonradial stability of the blow-up phenomenon.



$$u_t = \nabla \cdot (u \nabla (\log u - (-\Delta)^{-1} u))$$

What special thing happens exactly at the **critical mass** 8π ?

$$E(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(\log u - (-\Delta)^{-1}u) \, dx$$

is a Lyapunov functional for (KS). Along a solution u(x, t),

$$\partial_t E(u(\cdot,t)) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u |\nabla(\log u - (-\Delta)^{-1}u)|^2 dx \le 0$$

and this vanishes only at the steady states $v = \log u$ or

$$-\Delta v = e^v = u$$
 in \mathbb{R}^2

the Liouville equation.

$$-\Delta v = e^v = u$$
 in \mathbb{R}^2

All solutions with finite mass $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u < +\infty$ are known:

$$U_{\lambda,\xi}(x)=\lambda^{-2}U_0\left(rac{x-\xi}{\lambda}
ight), \quad U_0(x)=rac{8}{(1+|x|^2)^2}.$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} U_{\lambda,\xi}(x) dx = 8\pi, \quad E(U_{\lambda,\xi}) = E(U_0) \quad \text{for all} \quad \lambda,\xi \;,$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

and $U_{\lambda,\xi} \rightarrow 8\pi \delta_{\xi}$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$.

The functions $U_{\lambda,\xi}$ are the extremals for the **log-HLS inequality**

$$\min_{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u = 8\pi} E(u) = E(U_0)$$

The functional E(u) loses the P.S. condition along this family, which makes possible the presence of **bubbling phenomena** along the flow. The problem is *critical*.



The critical mass case $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_0 = 8\pi$

• Blanchet-Carlen-Carrillo (2012), Carlen-Figalli (2013): Asymptotic stability of the family of steady states under finite second moment perturbations.

• Lopez Gomez-Nagai-Yamada oscillatory (2014) instabilities.

• Blanchet-Carrillo-Masmoudi (2008) If in addition to critical mass we assume finite second moment

 $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 u_0(x) \, dx < +\infty$

then the solution u(x, t) aggregates in infinite time: for some $\lambda(t) \rightarrow 0$ and some point q we have that (near q)

$$u(x,t)pprox rac{1}{\lambda(t)^2}U_0\left(rac{x-q}{\lambda(t)}
ight) \quad ext{ as } t o +\infty$$

no information about the rate.

• Chavanis-Sire (2006), Campos (2012) formal analysis to derive the rate $\lambda(t)$.

• Ghoul-Masmoudi (2019) Construction of a radial solution with this profile that confirms formal rate

$$\lambda(t)\sim rac{1}{\sqrt{\log t}} \quad ext{ as } t
ightarrow +\infty.$$

Stability of the phenomenon inside the radial class is found. Full stability left as an open problem.

Theorem (Dávila, del Pino, Dolbeault, Musso, Wei, Arxiv 2019)

There exists a function $u_0^*(x)$ with

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_0^*(x) dx = 8\pi, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 u_0^*(x) dx < +\infty$$

such that for any initial condition in (KS) that is a small perturbation of u_0^* and has mass 8π , the solution has the form

$$u(x,t) = \frac{1}{\lambda(t)^2} U_0\left(\frac{x-q}{\lambda(t)}\right) + o(1)$$
$$\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log t}} (1+o(1)),$$

as $t \to +\infty$.

Let us explain the mechanism in Theorem 1. We look for a solution of

$$S(u) := -u_t + \nabla \cdot (u\nabla(\log u - (-\Delta)^{-1}u)) = 0$$

which is close to $\frac{1}{\lambda^2} U_0(y)$, $y = \frac{x}{\lambda}$ where $0 < \lambda(t) \to 0$ is a parameter function to be determined. Let

$$U(x,t) = \frac{lpha}{\lambda^2} U_0(y)\chi, \quad y = \frac{x}{\lambda}.$$

Here $\chi(x, t) = \chi_0(|x|/\sqrt{t})$, where χ_0 is smooth with $\chi_0(s) = 1$ for s < 1 and = 0 for s > 2 and $\alpha(t) = 1 + O(\frac{\lambda^2}{t})$ is such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} U \, dx = 8\pi$.

We look for a local correction of the form $u = U + \varphi$ where

$$\varphi(x,t) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \phi(y,t), \quad y = \frac{x}{\lambda}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ = りへぐ

We compute for $\varphi(x, t) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \phi(y, t)$,

$$S(U + \varphi) = S(U) + \mathcal{L}_U[\varphi] - \varphi_t + O(\|\varphi\|^2)$$

 $\mathcal{L}_U[\varphi] = \Delta \varphi - \nabla V \cdot \nabla \varphi - \nabla U \cdot \nabla (-\Delta)^{-1} \varphi \approx \lambda^{-4} \mathcal{L}_0[\phi]$ and for $|x| \ll \sqrt{t}$ we have $(V_0 = \log U_0)$

$$L_0[\phi] = \Delta_y \phi - \nabla V_0 \cdot \nabla \phi - \nabla U_0 \cdot \nabla (-\Delta)^{-1} \phi$$

We will have obtained an improvement of the approximation if we solve

$$L_0[\phi] + \lambda^4 S(U) = 0, \quad \phi(y,t) = O(|y|^{-4-\sigma})$$

Let us consider the elliptic problem

$$L_0[\phi] = E(y) = O(|y|^{-6-\sigma})$$
 in \mathbb{R}^2

Which can be written as

$$abla \cdot (U_0 \nabla g) = E(y), \quad g = rac{\phi}{U_0} - (-\Delta)^{-1}\phi$$

Assume *E* radial E = E(|y|) and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} E = 0$. We solve as

$$g(y) = \int_{|y|}^{\infty} \frac{d\rho}{\rho U_0(\rho)} \int_{\rho}^{\infty} E(r) r dr = O(|y|^{-\sigma}).$$

・ロト・団ト・田ト・田・ うんの

Now we solve, setting $\psi = (-\Delta)^{-1}\phi$,

$$\Delta \psi + U_0 \psi = -U_0 g \quad \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2.$$

It can be solved for $\psi = O(|y|^{-2-\sigma})$ (Fredholm alternative) iff

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g Z_0 = 0,$$

where $Z_0 = (y \cdot \nabla U_0 + 2U_0)$. Now,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |y|^2 E(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla \cdot (U_0 \nabla |y|^2) g dy = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Z_0 g dy$$

Hence we can solve as desired $(\phi = O(|y|^{-4-\sigma}))$ if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |y|^2 E(y) dy = 0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} E(y) dy$$

Now, the equation we need to solve is

$$L_0[\phi] + \lambda^4 S(U) = 0, \quad \phi(y,t) = O(|y|^{-4-\sigma})$$

So we need

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} S(U)|x|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} S(U) dx = 0.$$

 $S(U) = -U_t + \nabla \cdot (U\nabla(\log U - (-\Delta)^{-1}U)) = S_1 + S_2$

We clearly have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} S(U) = 0$. A direct computation (that uses $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} U = 8\pi$) gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 S_2 = 0.$$

Finally

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} S_1 |x|^2 = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} U_t |x|^2 = \partial_t (\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} U |x|^2) = 0$$

if and only if $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} U|x|^2 = constant$.

We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} U(x,t)|x|^2 dx = \int_0^\infty \chi_0(s/\sqrt{t}) U(\rho/\lambda) \lambda^{-2} \rho^3 d\rho$$
$$\sim \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\lambda}} U(r) r^3 dr \sim \lambda^2 \log(\sqrt{t}/\lambda)$$

Thus the requirement is $\lambda^2 \log(\sqrt{t}/\lambda) = c^2$, and we get

$$\lambda(t) = rac{c}{\sqrt{\log t}} + O\left(rac{\log(\log t)}{\log t}
ight)$$

For the actual proof:

We let $\lambda(t)$, $\alpha(t)$ be parameter functions with

$$\lambda(t) = rac{1}{\sqrt{\log t}}(1+o(1)), \quad lpha(t) = 1+o(t^{-1}).$$

The function $U = \alpha \lambda^{-2} U_0(\frac{x}{\lambda}) \chi$ is defined as before. We look for a solution of the form

$$u(x,t) = U[\lambda,\alpha] + \varphi$$

$$\varphi(x,t) = \eta \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \phi^{in}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda},t\right) + \phi^{out}(x,t)$$

where $\eta(x, t) = \chi_0\left(\frac{|x|}{\sqrt{t}}\right)$.

The pair (ϕ^{in}, ϕ^{out}) is imposed to solve a coupled system, *the inner-outer gluing system* that leads to u(x, t) be a solution

The system involves the main part of the linear operator near the core and far away from it.

$$\mathcal{L}_{U}[arphi] = \Delta_{\!x}arphi -
abla_{\!x}V\cdot
abla arphi -
abla_{\!x}U\cdot
abla (-\Delta)^{-1}arphi$$

Near 0, $\mathcal{L}_U[\varphi] \approx \lambda^{-4} L_0[\phi]$ for $\varphi = \lambda^{-2} \phi(y, t)$, $y = \frac{x}{\lambda}$. Away:

$$-
abla_x V \sim rac{4x}{|x|^2}, \quad
abla_x U \sim rac{4\lambda^2 x}{|x|^5}$$

So, setting r = |x|, far away from the core the operator looks like

$$\mathcal{L}_U[\varphi] \approx \Delta_x \varphi + \frac{4}{r} \partial_r \varphi$$

(for radial functions $\varphi(r)$, $\mathcal{L}_U[\varphi] \approx \varphi'' + \frac{5}{r}\varphi'$, a 6*d*-Laplacian).

The inner-outer gluing system is, up to lower order terms,

$$\begin{split} \phi_t^{out} &= \Delta_x \phi^{out} + \frac{4}{r} \partial_r \phi^{out} + G(\lambda, \alpha, \phi^{in}) \\ G(\lambda, \phi^{in}) &= (1 - \eta) \frac{\lambda \dot{\lambda}}{r^4} + 2 \nabla \eta \nabla_x \frac{\phi^{in}}{\lambda^2} + (\Delta_x \eta + \frac{4}{r} \partial_r \eta) \frac{\phi^{in}}{\lambda^2} + \cdots \\ \hline \lambda^2 \partial_t \phi^{in} &= L_0[\phi^{in}] + H(\lambda, \alpha, \phi^{out}) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \\ H(\lambda, \alpha, \phi^{out}) &= \lambda \dot{\lambda} (2U + y \cdot \nabla_y U(y)) - \lambda^2 \nabla_y U_0 \cdot \nabla_y (-\Delta_x)^{-1} \phi^{out}. \\ \text{where } L_0[\phi] &= \Delta_y \phi - \nabla_y V_0 \cdot \nabla_y \phi - \nabla_y U_0 \cdot \nabla_y (-\Delta_y)^{-1} \phi. \text{ We couple this system with the "solvability" conditions} \end{split}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |y|^2 H(\lambda, \alpha, \phi^{out})(y, t) \, dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} H(\lambda, \alpha, \phi^{out})(y, t) \, dy 0$$

for all t > 0.

Key step: Building up a linear operator that nicely inverts

 $\lambda^2 \phi_t = L_0[\phi] + h(y, t) \quad \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, \infty),$

 $|h(y,t)| \lesssim \gamma(t)|y|^{-5-\sigma}$, $\gamma = |\lambda_0 \dot{\lambda}_0|$ under the conditions $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |y|^2 h(y,t) \, dy = 0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} h(y,t) \, dy$

producing a "rapidly decaying solution"

 $|\phi(y,t)| \lesssim \gamma(t)|y|^{-3-\sigma}.$

The decay makes the system essentially decoupled.

Blow-up in a finite time T > 0

Theorem 2 Given points $q_1, \ldots, q_k \in \mathbb{R}^2$, there exists an initial condition $u_0(x)$ with

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_0(x) dx > 8k\pi,$$

such that the solution of (KS) satisfies for some T > 0

$$\begin{split} u(x,t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\lambda_{j}(t)^{2}} U_{0}\left(\frac{x-q_{j}}{\lambda_{j}(t)}\right) + O(1) \\ \lambda_{j}(t) &= \beta_{j}(T-t)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{|\log(T-t)|}} (1+o(1)). \end{split}$$

as $t \rightarrow T$.

Previous results: Velazquez 2004, Raphael-Schweyer, 2014, Collot-Ghoul-Nguyen-Masmoudi Arxiv 2019.

In the previous result, as $t \rightarrow T$,

$$u(x,t)
ightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{k} 8\pi \delta_{q_j} + ext{ a small function}$$

Multiple Blow-up at a single point:

Theorem

There exists a solution to (KS) such that as $t \to T$,

 $u(x,t) \rightarrow 8k\pi\delta_0(x) + a \text{ small function}$

The profile looks at main order for some $\alpha, \beta > 0$

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\lambda_j(t)^2} U_0\left(\frac{x - a_j\sqrt{T - t}}{\lambda(t)}\right) + O(1)$$

$$\lambda(t) = \beta(T - t)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\alpha\sqrt{|\log(T - t)|}} (1 + o(1)),$$

 a_j 's are vertices of a k-regular polygon, such that

$$\frac{1}{2}a_j = 4\sum_{i\neq j} \frac{a_i - a_j}{|a_i - a_j|^2}$$
$$a_j = 2\sqrt{k - 1}e^{2\pi i \frac{j}{k}}$$

Formal-numerical asymptotics for this solutions were previously found by Seki-Sujiyama-Velázquez (2013)

A related problem: The harmonic map flow $\mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto S^2$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ →

E.

The harmonic map flow from \mathbb{R}^2 into S^2 . $u: \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T) \to S^2$:

(HMF)
$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u + |\nabla u|^2 u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T) \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \end{cases}$$

- We have that $|u_0| \equiv 1 \implies |u| \equiv 1$.
- (HMF) is the L^2 -gradient flow of the Dirichlet energy:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\nabla u(\cdot,t)|^2=-2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}u_t^2$$

Finite energy harmonic maps $\mathbb{R}^2 \to S^2$: critical points of Dirichlet energy. Solutions of

$$\Delta u + |\nabla u|^2 u = 0$$
 in \mathbb{R}^2 , $|u| \equiv 1$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 < +\infty$

Example:

$$U(y) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2y}{1+|y|^2} \\ \frac{|y|^2-1}{1+|y|^2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

the canonical 1-corrotational harmonic map.

Known :

• Blow-up must be type II, it can only take place at isolated points, by bubbling of finite-energy harmonic maps (Struwe, Tian, F.H. Lin, Topping 1985-2008).

•Continuation after blow-up, uniqueness: Struwe, Topping, Freire, Rupflin.

• Examples known: in the radial 1-corrotational class only. Chang-Ding-Ye 1991, Raphael-Schweyer 2013.

Our main result: For any given finite set of points of Ω and suitable initial and boundary values, then a solution with a simultaneous blow-up at those points exists, with a profile resembling a translation, scaling and rotation of U around each bubbling point. Single point blow-up is **codimension-1 stable**.

The functions

$$U_{\lambda,q,lpha}(x) := Q_{lpha} U\left(rac{x-q}{\lambda}
ight).$$

with $\lambda > 0$, $q \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$egin{array}{lll} Q_lpha egin{array}{c} y_1 \ y_2 \ y_3 \end{bmatrix} &= egin{array}{c} e^{ilpha}(y_1+iy_2) \ y_3 \end{bmatrix}, \end{array}$$

is the α -rotation around the third axis. All these are least energy harmonic maps:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |
abla U_{\lambda, q, lpha}|^2 = 4\pi$$

Theorem (Dávila, del Pino, Wei, 2020)

Let us fix points $q_1, \ldots, q_k \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Given a sufficiently small T > 0, there exists an initial condition u_0 such the solution u(x, t) of (HMF) blows-up as $t \uparrow T$ in the form

$$u_q(x,t) = \sum_{j=1}^k Q_{\alpha_i(t)} U\left(\frac{x-q_i}{\lambda_i(t)}\right) + u_*(x) + o(1)$$

in the energy and uniform senses where u_* is a regular function,

$$\lambda_i(t) = \frac{\kappa_i(T-t)}{|\log(T-t)|^2}.$$

$$|
abla u_q(\cdot,t)|^2
ightarrow |
abla u_*|^2 + 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^k \delta_{q_j}$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲ 圖 ▶ ▲ 画 ▶ ▲ 画 ▼ の Q @

Construction of a bubbling solution k = 1Given a T > 0, $q \in \Omega$, we want

 $S(u) := -u_t + \Delta u + |\nabla u|^2 u = 0$ in $\Omega \times (0, T)$

$$u(x,t) \approx U(x,t) := U_{\lambda(t),\xi(t),\alpha(t)}(x) = Q_{\omega(t)} U\left(\frac{x-\xi(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right)$$

for certain functions $\xi(t)$, $\lambda(t)$ and $\omega(t)$ of class $C^{1}[0, T]$ such that

$$\xi(T) = q, \quad \lambda(T) = 0,$$

so that u(x, t) blows-up at time T and the point q. We want to find values for these functions so that for a small remainder v we have that u = U + v solves the problem.

We want, for $y = \frac{x - \xi(t)}{\lambda(t)}$,

 $\Big| u pprox U(x,t) + \eta Q_\omega \phi(y,t) + \Pi_{U^\perp} [\Phi^0(x,t) + \Psi^*(x,t)] \Big|,$

for a function $\phi(y, t)$ with $\phi(\cdot, t) \cdot W \equiv 0$, and that vanishes as $t \to T$ and that has space decay in y. η is a cut-off function concentrated near the blow-up point,

 $\Pi_{U^{\perp}}[Z] := Z - (Z \cdot U)U.$

• Φ^0 is a function that depends on the parameters and basically eliminates at main order the error far away.

• $\Psi^*(x, t)$ is close to a fixed function $Z_0^*(x)$ that we specify below.

We require for $x = \xi + re^{i\theta}$, $p(t) = \lambda(t)e^{i\omega(t)}$,

$$\Phi_t^0 - \Delta_x \Phi^0 - \frac{2}{r} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{p}(t)e^{i\theta} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

$$\Phi^{0}[\omega,\lambda,\xi] := \begin{bmatrix} \varphi^{0}(r,t)e^{i\theta} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\varphi^{0}(r,t) = \int_{0}^{t} p(s)rk(r,t-s) ds, \quad k(r,t) = 2\frac{1-e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{4t}}}{r^{2}}.$$

We take

$$Z_0^*(x) = \begin{bmatrix} z_0^*(x) \\ z_{03}^*(x) \end{bmatrix}, \quad z_0^*(x) = z_{01}^*(x) + i z_{02}^*(x)$$

 $Z_0^*(q) = 0, \quad \operatorname{div} z_0^*(q) + i \operatorname{curl} z_0^*(q) \neq 0$

For the ansatz

$$u \approx U(x,t) + \eta Q_{\omega} \phi(y,t) + \Pi_{U^{\perp}} [\Phi^0(x,t) + \Psi^*(x,t)], \quad y = rac{x - \xi(t)}{\lambda(t)}$$

with $\Psi^*(x, 0)$ close to $Z_0^*(x)$ we need the parameters to satisfy specific relations.

In fact $\phi(y, t)$ should approximately satisfy an equation of the form

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_y \phi + |\nabla U(y)|^2 \phi + 2(\nabla U \cdot \nabla \phi) U(y) = E(y, t) = O(|y|^{-3}) \\ \phi(y, t) \cdot U(y) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \phi(y, t) \to 0 \quad \text{as } |y| \to \infty \end{cases}$$

where E(y, t) is the error of approximation.

- E(y, t) depends *non-locally* on $p(t) = \lambda e^{i\omega}$ through $\Phi_0(x, t)$.
- We need for solvability conditions of the form

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} E(y,t) \cdot Z_{\ell}(y) \, dy = 0$$

where $Z_{\ell}(y)$ are generators of invariances under λ - dilatons and ω -rotations for the harmonic map problem

 $\Delta U + |\nabla U|^2 U = 0$

These conditions lead to $p(t) = \lambda(t)e^{i\omega(t)}$ approximately satisfying

$$\int_0^{t-\lambda(t)^2} \frac{\dot{p}(s)}{t-s} ds = 2(\operatorname{div} z_0^*(q) + i\operatorname{curl} z_0^*(q))) =: a_0^*.$$

We recall that $a_0^* \neq 0$ This implies that

$$a_0^*=-|a_0^*|e^{i\omega_*}$$

for a unique $\omega_* \in (-\pi, \pi)$. It turns out that the following function is an accurate approximate solution:

$$\omega(t) \equiv \omega_*, \quad \dot{\lambda}(t) = -|\operatorname{div} z_0^*(q) + i\operatorname{curl} z_0^*(q)| \frac{|\log T|}{\log^2(T-t)}$$

・ロト ・回 ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨー ・ つへの

Happy Birthday Marie Françoise and Laurent