

# Approximations and Locally Free Modules

AMeGA & ECI Workshop

Třešť, October 19, 2012

Jan Trlifaj (MFF UK Praha)

# Overview

# Overview

- **Part I: Decomposable classes, or the classic jewels**
  - ① Some classic examples.

# Overview

- **Part I: Decomposable classes, or the classic jewels**
  - ① Some classic examples.
  
- **Part II: Deconstructible classes, or the ubiquitous mainstream**
  - ① Filtrations and transfinite extensions.
  - ② Deconstructibility and approximations.

- **Part I: Decomposable classes, or the classic jewels**
  - ① Some classic examples.
  
- **Part II: Deconstructible classes, or the ubiquitous mainstream**
  - ① Filtrations and transfinite extensions.
  - ② Deconstructibility and approximations.
  
- **Part III: Non-deconstructibility, or reaching the limits**
  - ① The basic example: Mittag-Leffler modules.
  - ② Trees and locally free modules.
  - ③ Non-deconstructibility via infinite dimensional tilting theory.

## Part I: Decomposable classes

## Part I: Decomposable classes

(cutting modules into small blocks)

## Definition

A class of modules  $\mathcal{C}$  is **decomposable**, provided there is a cardinal  $\kappa$  such that each module in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a direct sum of  $< \kappa$ -generated modules from  $\mathcal{C}$ .



## Definition

A class of modules  $\mathcal{C}$  is **decomposable**, provided there is a cardinal  $\kappa$  such that each module in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a direct sum of  $< \kappa$ -generated modules from  $\mathcal{C}$ .

## Some classic examples

## Definition

A class of modules  $\mathcal{C}$  is **decomposable**, provided there is a cardinal  $\kappa$  such that each module in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a direct sum of  $< \kappa$ -generated modules from  $\mathcal{C}$ .

## Some classic examples

[Gruson-Jensen'73], [Huisgen-Zimmermann'79]

**Mod- $R$  is decomposable, iff  $R$  is right pure-semisimple.**

Uniformly:  $\kappa = \aleph_0$  sufficient for all such  $R$ ;

uniqueness by Krull-Schmidt-Azumaya.

## Definition

A class of modules  $\mathcal{C}$  is **decomposable**, provided there is a cardinal  $\kappa$  such that each module in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a direct sum of  $< \kappa$ -generated modules from  $\mathcal{C}$ .

## Some classic examples

[Gruson-Jensen'73], [Huisgen-Zimmermann'79]

**Mod- $R$  is decomposable, iff  $R$  is right pure-semisimple.**

Uniformly:  $\kappa = \aleph_0$  sufficient for all such  $R$ ;

uniqueness by Krull-Schmidt-Azumaya.

[Kaplansky'58] **The class  $\mathcal{P}_0$  is decomposable.**

Uniformly:  $\kappa = \aleph_1$  sufficient for all  $R$ , but no uniqueness in general.

E.g.,  $I \oplus I^{-1} \cong R^{(2)}$  for each non-principal ideal  $I$  of a Dedekind domain  $R$ .

## Definition

A class of modules  $\mathcal{C}$  is **decomposable**, provided there is a cardinal  $\kappa$  such that each module in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a direct sum of  $< \kappa$ -generated modules from  $\mathcal{C}$ .

## Some classic examples

[Gruson-Jensen'73], [Huisgen-Zimmermann'79]

**$\text{Mod-}R$  is decomposable, iff  $R$  is right pure-semisimple.**

Uniformly:  $\kappa = \aleph_0$  sufficient for all such  $R$ ;

uniqueness by Krull-Schmidt-Azumaya.

[Kaplansky'58] **The class  $\mathcal{P}_0$  is decomposable.**

Uniformly:  $\kappa = \aleph_1$  sufficient for all  $R$ , but no uniqueness in general.

E.g.,  $I \oplus I^{-1} \cong R^{(2)}$  for each non-principal ideal  $I$  of a Dedekind domain  $R$ .

[Faith-Walker'67] **The class  $\mathcal{I}_0$  of all injective modules is decomposable, iff  $R$  is right noetherian.**

Here,  $\kappa$  depends  $R$ ; uniqueness by Krull-Schmidt-Azumaya.

## Part II: Deconstructible classes

## Part II: Deconstructible classes

(blocks put on top of other blocks)

## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{Mod-}R$ . A module  $M$  is  **$\mathcal{C}$ -filtered** (or a **transfinite extension** of the modules in  $\mathcal{C}$ ), provided that there exists an increasing sequence  $(M_\alpha \mid \alpha \leq \sigma)$  consisting of submodules of  $M$  such that  $M_0 = 0$ ,  $M_\sigma = M$ ,

- $M_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta$  for each limit ordinal  $\alpha \leq \sigma$ , and
- for each  $\alpha < \sigma$ ,  $M_{\alpha+1}/M_\alpha$  is isomorphic to an element of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{Mod-}R$ . A module  $M$  is  **$\mathcal{C}$ -filtered** (or a **transfinite extension** of the modules in  $\mathcal{C}$ ), provided that there exists an increasing sequence  $(M_\alpha \mid \alpha \leq \sigma)$  consisting of submodules of  $M$  such that  $M_0 = 0$ ,  $M_\sigma = M$ ,

- $M_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta$  for each limit ordinal  $\alpha \leq \sigma$ , and
- for each  $\alpha < \sigma$ ,  $M_{\alpha+1}/M_\alpha$  is isomorphic to an element of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

*Notation:*  $M \in \text{Filt}(\mathcal{C})$ .

A class  $\mathcal{A}$  is **closed** under transfinite extensions, if  $\text{Filt}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ .



## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{Mod-}R$ . A module  $M$  is  **$\mathcal{C}$ -filtered** (or a **transfinite extension** of the modules in  $\mathcal{C}$ ), provided that there exists an increasing sequence  $(M_\alpha \mid \alpha \leq \sigma)$  consisting of submodules of  $M$  such that  $M_0 = 0$ ,  $M_\sigma = M$ ,

- $M_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta$  for each limit ordinal  $\alpha \leq \sigma$ , and
- for each  $\alpha < \sigma$ ,  $M_{\alpha+1}/M_\alpha$  is isomorphic to an element of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

*Notation:*  $M \in \text{Filt}(\mathcal{C})$ .

A class  $\mathcal{A}$  is **closed** under transfinite extensions, if  $\text{Filt}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ .

## Eklof Lemma

The class  ${}^\perp\mathcal{C} := \text{KerExt}_R^1(-, \mathcal{C})$  is closed under transfinite extensions for each class of modules  $\mathcal{C}$ .

In particular, so are the classes  $\mathcal{P}_n$  and  $\mathcal{F}_n$  of all modules of projective and flat dimension  $\leq n$ , for each  $n < \omega$ .

# The ubiquity of deconstructible classes

# The ubiquity of deconstructible classes

## Definition (Eklof'06)

A class of modules  $\mathcal{A}$  is **deconstructible**, provided there is a cardinal  $\kappa$  such that  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{Filt}(\mathcal{A}^{<\kappa})$ , where  $\mathcal{A}^{<\kappa}$  denotes the class of all  $< \kappa$ -presented modules from  $\mathcal{A}$ .

# The ubiquity of deconstructible classes

## Definition (Eklof'06)

A class of modules  $\mathcal{A}$  is **deconstructible**, provided there is a cardinal  $\kappa$  such that  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{Filt}(\mathcal{A}^{<\kappa})$ , where  $\mathcal{A}^{<\kappa}$  denotes the class of all  $< \kappa$ -presented modules from  $\mathcal{A}$ .

All decomposable classes are deconstructible (but not vice versa).

# The ubiquity of deconstructible classes

## Definition (Eklof'06)

A class of modules  $\mathcal{A}$  is **deconstructible**, provided there is a cardinal  $\kappa$  such that  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{Filt}(\mathcal{A}^{<\kappa})$ , where  $\mathcal{A}^{<\kappa}$  denotes the class of all  $< \kappa$ -presented modules from  $\mathcal{A}$ .

All decomposable classes are deconstructible (but not vice versa).

## [Enochs et al.'01]

For each  $n < \omega$ , the classes  $\mathcal{P}_n$  and  $\mathcal{F}_n$  are deconstructible.

# The ubiquity of deconstructible classes

## Definition (Eklof'06)

A class of modules  $\mathcal{A}$  is **deconstructible**, provided there is a cardinal  $\kappa$  such that  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{Filt}(\mathcal{A}^{<\kappa})$ , where  $\mathcal{A}^{<\kappa}$  denotes the class of all  $< \kappa$ -presented modules from  $\mathcal{A}$ .

All decomposable classes are deconstructible (but not vice versa).

## [Enochs et al.'01]

For each  $n < \omega$ , the classes  $\mathcal{P}_n$  and  $\mathcal{F}_n$  are deconstructible.

## [Eklof-T.'01], [Šťovíček-T.'09]

For each set of modules  $\mathcal{S}$ , the class  ${}^\perp(\mathcal{S}^\perp)$  is deconstructible.  
Here,  $\mathcal{S}^\perp := \text{KerExt}_R^1(\mathcal{S}, -)$ .

# Approximations of modules

## Approximations of modules

A class of modules  $\mathcal{A}$  is **precovering** if for each module  $M$  there is  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(A, M)$  with  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  such that each  $f' \in \text{Hom}_R(A', M)$  with  $A' \in \mathcal{A}$  has a factorization through  $f$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & M \\ \uparrow & & \nearrow \\ | & & f' \\ A' & & \end{array}$$

The map  $f$  is called an  **$\mathcal{A}$ -precover** of  $M$ .



## Approximations of modules

A class of modules  $\mathcal{A}$  is **precovering** if for each module  $M$  there is  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(A, M)$  with  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  such that each  $f' \in \text{Hom}_R(A', M)$  with  $A' \in \mathcal{A}$  has a factorization through  $f$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & M \\ \uparrow & \nearrow f' & \\ A' & & \end{array}$$

The map  $f$  is called an  **$\mathcal{A}$ -precover** of  $M$ .

[Saorín-Šťovíček'11], [Enochs'12]

All deconstructible classes closed under transfinite extensions are precovering.

In particular, so are the classes  ${}^{\perp}(\mathcal{S}^{\perp})$  for all sets of modules  $\mathcal{S}$ .

# Some questions

# Some questions

1. *Is each class of modules closed under transfinite extensions deconstructible/precovering?*

# Some questions

1. *Is each class of modules closed under transfinite extensions deconstructible/precovering?*

2. *What about the classes of the form  ${}^{\perp}C$ ?*

## Part III: Non-deconstructible classes

## Part III: Non-deconstructible classes

(no block pattern at all)

# First examples

# First examples

[Eklof-Shelah'03]

It is independent of ZFC whether the class of all Whitehead groups ( $= {}^\perp\{\mathbb{Z}\}$ ) is precovering (or deconstructible).



# First examples

[Eklof-Shelah'03]

It is independent of ZFC whether the class of all Whitehead groups ( $= {}^\perp\{\mathbb{Z}\}$ ) is precovering (or deconstructible).

A result in ZFC

# First examples

[Eklof-Shelah'03]

It is independent of ZFC whether the class of all Whitehead groups ( $= {}^\perp\{\mathbb{Z}\}$ ) is precovering (or deconstructible).

A result in ZFC

A module  $M$  is **flat Mittag-Leffler** provided the functor  $M \otimes_R -$  is exact, and for each system of left  $R$ -modules  $(N_i \mid i \in I)$ , the canonical map  $M \otimes_R \prod_{i \in I} N_i \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} M \otimes_R N_i$  is monic.

# First examples

[Eklof-Shelah'03]

It is independent of ZFC whether the class of all Whitehead groups ( $= {}^\perp\{\mathbb{Z}\}$ ) is precovering (or deconstructible).

A result in ZFC

A module  $M$  is **flat Mittag-Leffler** provided the functor  $M \otimes_R -$  is exact, and for each system of left  $R$ -modules  $(N_i \mid i \in I)$ , the canonical map  $M \otimes_R \prod_{i \in I} N_i \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} M \otimes_R N_i$  is monic.

Assume that  $R$  is not right perfect.

- [Herbera-T.'12] The class  $\mathcal{FM}$  of all flat Mittag-Leffler modules is closed under transfinite extensions, but it is not deconstructible.
- [Šaroch-T.'12], [Bazzoni-Šťovíček'12] If  $R$  is countable, then  $\mathcal{FM}$  is not precovering.

# Further questions

## Further questions

3. *Is non-deconstructibility a more general phenomenon?*

## Further questions

3. *Is non-deconstructibility a more general phenomenon?*

Still open

4. *Is there a ring  $R$  such that the class  ${}^{\perp}\mathcal{C}$  is not deconstructible/precovering in ZFC?*

# Locally $\mathcal{F}$ -free modules

# Locally $\mathcal{F}$ -free modules

Let  $R$  be a ring, and  $\mathcal{F}$  a class of countably presented modules.



# Locally $\mathcal{F}$ -free modules

Let  $R$  be a ring, and  $\mathcal{F}$  a class of countably presented modules.

## Definition

A module  $M$  is **locally  $\mathcal{F}$ -free**, if  $M$  possesses a subset  $\mathcal{S}$  consisting of countably  $\mathcal{F}$ -filtered modules, such that

- each countable subset of  $M$  is contained in an element of  $\mathcal{S}$ ,
- $0 \in \mathcal{S}$ , and  $\mathcal{S}$  is closed under unions of countable chains.

# Locally $\mathcal{F}$ -free modules

Let  $R$  be a ring, and  $\mathcal{F}$  a class of countably presented modules.

## Definition

A module  $M$  is **locally  $\mathcal{F}$ -free**, if  $M$  possesses a subset  $\mathcal{S}$  consisting of countably  $\mathcal{F}$ -filtered modules, such that

- each countable subset of  $M$  is contained in an element of  $\mathcal{S}$ ,
- $0 \in \mathcal{S}$ , and  $\mathcal{S}$  is closed under unions of countable chains.

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  denote the class of all locally  $\mathcal{F}$ -free modules.

# Locally $\mathcal{F}$ -free modules

Let  $R$  be a ring, and  $\mathcal{F}$  a class of countably presented modules.

## Definition

A module  $M$  is **locally  $\mathcal{F}$ -free**, if  $M$  possesses a subset  $\mathcal{S}$  consisting of countably  $\mathcal{F}$ -filtered modules, such that

- each countable subset of  $M$  is contained in an element of  $\mathcal{S}$ ,
- $0 \in \mathcal{S}$ , and  $\mathcal{S}$  is closed under unions of countable chains.

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  denote the class of all locally  $\mathcal{F}$ -free modules.

*Note:* If  $M$  is countably generated, then  $M$  is locally  $\mathcal{F}$ -free, iff  $M$  is countably  $\mathcal{F}$ -filtered.

# Flat Mittag-Leffler modules are locally $\mathcal{F}$ -free

# Flat Mittag-Leffler modules are locally $\mathcal{F}$ -free

## Theorem (Herbera-T.'12)

*Let  $\mathcal{F}$  = be the class of all countably presented projective modules. Then the notions of a locally  $\mathcal{F}$ -free module and a flat Mittag-Leffler module coincide for any ring  $R$ .*

# Flat Mittag-Leffler modules are locally $\mathcal{F}$ -free

## Theorem (Herbera-T.'12)

*Let  $\mathcal{F}$  = be the class of all countably presented projective modules. Then the notions of a locally  $\mathcal{F}$ -free module and a flat Mittag-Leffler module coincide for any ring  $R$ .*

For instance, if  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ , then an abelian group  $A$  is flat Mittag-Leffler, iff all countable subgroups of  $A$  are free.

In particular, the Baer-Specker group  $\mathbb{Z}^\kappa$  is flat Mittag-Leffler for each  $\kappa$ , but not free.

# Trees for locally $\mathcal{F}$ -free modules

# Trees for locally $\mathcal{F}$ -free modules

Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal, and  $T_\kappa$  be the set of all finite sequences of ordinals  $< \kappa$ , so

$$T_\kappa = \{\tau : n \rightarrow \kappa \mid n < \omega\}.$$



# Trees for locally $\mathcal{F}$ -free modules

Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal, and  $T_\kappa$  be the set of all finite sequences of ordinals  $< \kappa$ , so

$$T_\kappa = \{\tau : n \rightarrow \kappa \mid n < \omega\}.$$

Partially ordered by inclusion,  $T_\kappa$  is a tree, called the **tree on  $\kappa$** .

# Trees for locally $\mathcal{F}$ -free modules

Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal, and  $T_\kappa$  be the set of all finite sequences of ordinals  $< \kappa$ , so

$$T_\kappa = \{\tau : n \rightarrow \kappa \mid n < \omega\}.$$

Partially ordered by inclusion,  $T_\kappa$  is a tree, called the **tree on  $\kappa$** .

Let  $\text{Br}(T_\kappa)$  denote the set of all branches of  $T_\kappa$ . Each  $\nu \in \text{Br}(T_\kappa)$  can be identified with an  $\omega$ -sequence of ordinals  $< \kappa$ :

$$\text{Br}(T_\kappa) = \{\nu : \omega \rightarrow \kappa\}.$$

# Trees for locally $\mathcal{F}$ -free modules

Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal, and  $T_\kappa$  be the set of all finite sequences of ordinals  $< \kappa$ , so

$$T_\kappa = \{\tau : n \rightarrow \kappa \mid n < \omega\}.$$

Partially ordered by inclusion,  $T_\kappa$  is a tree, called the **tree on  $\kappa$** .

Let  $\text{Br}(T_\kappa)$  denote the set of all branches of  $T_\kappa$ . Each  $\nu \in \text{Br}(T_\kappa)$  can be identified with an  $\omega$ -sequence of ordinals  $< \kappa$ :

$$\text{Br}(T_\kappa) = \{\nu : \omega \rightarrow \kappa\}.$$

$\text{card } T_\kappa = \kappa$  and  $\text{card } \text{Br}(T_\kappa) = \kappa^\omega$ .

Notation:  $\ell(\tau)$  denotes the length of  $\tau$  for each  $\tau \in T_\kappa$ .

# The Bass modules

# The Bass modules

Let  $R$  be a ring, and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of countably presented modules.

# The Bass modules

Let  $R$  be a ring, and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of countably presented modules.

$\varinjlim_{\omega} \mathcal{F}$  denotes the class of all **Bass modules**, i.e., the modules  $N$  that are countable direct limits of the modules from  $\mathcal{F}$ . W.l.o.g.,  $N$  is the direct limit of a chain

$$F_0 \xrightarrow{g_0} F_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} \dots \xrightarrow{g_{i-1}} F_i \xrightarrow{g_i} F_{i+1} \xrightarrow{g_{i+1}} \dots$$

with  $F_i \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $g_i \in \text{Hom}_R(F_i, F_{i+1})$  for all  $i < \omega$ .

# The Bass modules

Let  $R$  be a ring, and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of countably presented modules.

$\varinjlim_{\omega} \mathcal{F}$  denotes the class of all **Bass modules**, i.e., the modules  $N$  that are countable direct limits of the modules from  $\mathcal{F}$ . W.l.o.g.,  $N$  is the direct limit of a chain

$$F_0 \xrightarrow{g_0} F_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} \dots \xrightarrow{g_{i-1}} F_i \xrightarrow{g_i} F_{i+1} \xrightarrow{g_{i+1}} \dots$$

with  $F_i \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $g_i \in \text{Hom}_R(F_i, F_{i+1})$  for all  $i < \omega$ .

## Example

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the class of all countably generated projective modules. Then the Bass modules coincide with the countably presented flat modules.

# Decorating trees by Bass modules



# Decorating trees by Bass modules

Let  $D := \bigoplus_{\tau \in T_\kappa} F_{\ell(\tau)}$ , and  $P := \prod_{\tau \in T_\kappa} F_{\ell(\tau)}$ .

# Decorating trees by Bass modules

Let  $D := \bigoplus_{\tau \in T_\kappa} F_{\ell(\tau)}$ , and  $P := \prod_{\tau \in T_\kappa} F_{\ell(\tau)}$ .

For  $\nu \in \text{Br}(T_\kappa)$ ,  $i < \omega$ , and  $x \in F_i$ , we define  $x_{\nu i} \in P$  by

$$\pi_{\nu \upharpoonright i}(x_{\nu i}) = x,$$

$$\pi_{\nu \upharpoonright j}(x_{\nu i}) = g_{j-1} \dots g_i(x) \text{ for each } i < j < \omega,$$

$$\pi_\tau(x_{\nu i}) = 0 \text{ otherwise,}$$

where  $\pi_\tau \in \text{Hom}_R(P, F_{\ell(\tau)})$  denotes the  $\tau$ th projection for each  $\tau \in T_\kappa$ .

# Decorating trees by Bass modules

Let  $D := \bigoplus_{\tau \in T_\kappa} F_{\ell(\tau)}$ , and  $P := \prod_{\tau \in T_\kappa} F_{\ell(\tau)}$ .

For  $\nu \in \text{Br}(T_\kappa)$ ,  $i < \omega$ , and  $x \in F_i$ , we define  $x_{\nu i} \in P$  by

$$\pi_{\nu \upharpoonright i}(x_{\nu i}) = x,$$

$$\pi_{\nu \upharpoonright j}(x_{\nu i}) = g_{j-1} \dots g_i(x) \text{ for each } i < j < \omega,$$

$$\pi_\tau(x_{\nu i}) = 0 \text{ otherwise,}$$

where  $\pi_\tau \in \text{Hom}_R(P, F_{\ell(\tau)})$  denotes the  $\tau$ th projection for each  $\tau \in T_\kappa$ .

Let  $X_{\nu i} := \{x_{\nu i} \mid x \in F_i\}$ . Then  $X_{\nu i}$  is a submodule of  $P$  isomorphic to  $F_i$ .

# The locally $\mathcal{F}$ -free module $L$

# The locally $\mathcal{F}$ -free module $L$

Let  $X_\nu := \sum_{i < \omega} X_{\nu i}$ , and  $L := \sum_{\nu \in \text{Br}(T_\kappa)} X_\nu$ .

# The locally $\mathcal{F}$ -free module $L$

Let  $X_\nu := \sum_{i < \omega} X_{\nu i}$ , and  $L := \sum_{\nu \in \text{Br}(T_\kappa)} X_\nu$ .

## Lemma

- $D \subseteq L \subseteq P$ .
- $L/D \cong N(\text{Br}(T_\kappa))$ .
- $L$  is locally  $\mathcal{F}$ -free.

# The locally $\mathcal{F}$ -free module $L$

Let  $X_\nu := \sum_{i < \omega} X_{\nu i}$ , and  $L := \sum_{\nu \in \text{Br}(\mathcal{T}_\kappa)} X_\nu$ .

## Lemma

- $D \subseteq L \subseteq P$ .
- $L/D \cong N(\text{Br}(\mathcal{T}_\kappa))$ .
- $L$  is locally  $\mathcal{F}$ -free.

## Lemma (Slávik-T.)

- $\mathcal{L}$  is closed under transfinite extensions.
- $\mathcal{L}^\perp \subseteq (\varinjlim_\omega \mathcal{F})^\perp$ .

# Non-deconstructibility of locally $\mathcal{F}$ -free modules



# Non-deconstructibility of locally $\mathcal{F}$ -free modules

- $\mathcal{F}$  a class of countably presented modules,
- $\mathcal{L}$  the class of all locally  $\mathcal{F}$ -free modules,
- $\mathcal{D}$  the class of all direct summands of the modules  $M$  that fit into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F' \rightarrow M \rightarrow C' \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $F'$  is a free module, and  $C'$  is countably  $\mathcal{F}$ -filtered.

# Non-deconstructibility of locally $\mathcal{F}$ -free modules

- $\mathcal{F}$  a class of countably presented modules,
- $\mathcal{L}$  the class of all locally  $\mathcal{F}$ -free modules,
- $\mathcal{D}$  the class of all direct summands of the modules  $M$  that fit into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F' \rightarrow M \rightarrow C' \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $F'$  is a free module, and  $C'$  is countably  $\mathcal{F}$ -filtered.

## Theorem (Slávik-T.)

*Assume there exists a Bass module  $N \notin \mathcal{D}$ . Then the class  $\mathcal{L}$  is not deconstructible.*

# Flat Mittag-Leffler modules revisited

# Flat Mittag-Leffler modules revisited

## Corollary

*$\mathcal{FM}$  is not deconstructible for each non-right perfect ring  $R$ .*

# Flat Mittag-Leffler modules revisited

## Corollary

$\mathcal{FM}$  is not deconstructible for each non-right perfect ring  $R$ .

*Sketch of an alternative proof:* If  $R$  a non-right perfect ring, then there is a strictly decreasing chain of principal left ideals

$$Ra_0 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq Ra_n \cdots a_0 \supsetneq Ra_{n+1}a_n \cdots a_0 \supsetneq \cdots$$

# Flat Mittag-Leffler modules revisited

## Corollary

$\mathcal{FM}$  is not deconstructible for each non-right perfect ring  $R$ .

*Sketch of an alternative proof:* If  $R$  a non-right perfect ring, then there is a strictly decreasing chain of principal left ideals

$$Ra_0 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq Ra_n \cdots a_0 \supsetneq Ra_{n+1}a_n \cdots a_0 \supsetneq \cdots$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the class of all countably presented projective modules. Consider the Bass module  $N$  which is a direct limit of the chain

$$R \xrightarrow{a_0} R \xrightarrow{a_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{a_{i-1}} R \xrightarrow{a_i} R \xrightarrow{a_{i+1}} \cdots$$

# Flat Mittag-Leffler modules revisited

## Corollary

$\mathcal{FM}$  is not deconstructible for each non-right perfect ring  $R$ .

*Sketch of an alternative proof:* If  $R$  a non-right perfect ring, then there is a strictly decreasing chain of principal left ideals

$$Ra_0 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq Ra_n \cdots a_0 \supsetneq Ra_{n+1}a_n \cdots a_0 \supsetneq \cdots$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the class of all countably presented projective modules. Consider the Bass module  $N$  which is a direct limit of the chain

$$R \xrightarrow{a_0} R \xrightarrow{a_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{a_{i-1}} R \xrightarrow{a_i} R \xrightarrow{a_{i+1}} \cdots$$

Then there is a non-split pure-exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R^{(\omega)} \xrightarrow{f} R^{(\omega)} \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $f(1_i) = 1_i - a_i \cdot 1_{i+1}$  for all  $i < \omega$ . Then  $N \notin \mathcal{D} \equiv \mathcal{P}_0$ .

# Infinite dimensional tilting modules



# Infinite dimensional tilting modules

## Definition

$T$  is a **tilting module** provided that

- $T$  has finite projective dimension,
- $\text{Ext}_R^i(T, T^{(\kappa)}) = 0$  for each cardinal  $\kappa$ , and
- there exists an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow T_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T_r \rightarrow 0$  such that  $r < \omega$ , and for each  $i < r$ ,  $T_i \in \text{Add}(T)$ , i.e.,  $T_i$  is a direct summand of a (possibly infinite) direct sum of copies of  $T$ .

# Infinite dimensional tilting modules

## Definition

$T$  is a **tilting module** provided that

- $T$  has finite projective dimension,
- $\text{Ext}_R^i(T, T^{(\kappa)}) = 0$  for each cardinal  $\kappa$ , and
- there exists an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow T_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T_r \rightarrow 0$  such that  $r < \omega$ , and for each  $i < r$ ,  $T_i \in \text{Add}(T)$ , i.e.,  $T_i$  is a direct summand of a (possibly infinite) direct sum of copies of  $T$ .

$\mathcal{B}_T := \{T\}^{\perp_\infty} = \bigcap_{1 < i} \text{KerExt}_R^i(T, -)$  the **right tilting class** of  $T$ .

# Infinite dimensional tilting modules

## Definition

$T$  is a **tilting module** provided that

- $T$  has finite projective dimension,
- $\text{Ext}_R^i(T, T^{(\kappa)}) = 0$  for each cardinal  $\kappa$ , and
- there exists an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow T_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T_r \rightarrow 0$  such that  $r < \omega$ , and for each  $i < r$ ,  $T_i \in \text{Add}(T)$ , i.e.,  $T_i$  is a direct summand of a (possibly infinite) direct sum of copies of  $T$ .

$\mathcal{B}_T := \{T\}^{\perp\infty} = \bigcap_{1 < i} \text{KerExt}_R^i(T, -)$  the **right tilting class** of  $T$ .

$\mathcal{A}_T := {}^{\perp}\mathcal{B}_T$  the **left tilting class** of  $T$ .

# Some infinite dimensional tilting theory

## Some infinite dimensional tilting theory

Theorem (A model-theoretic characterization of right tilting classes)

*Tilting classes are exactly the classes of finite type, i.e., the classes of the form  $\mathcal{S}^\perp$ , where  $\mathcal{S}$  is a set of strongly finitely presented modules of bounded projective dimension.*

## Some infinite dimensional tilting theory

Theorem (A model-theoretic characterization of right tilting classes)

*Tilting classes are exactly the classes of finite type, i.e., the classes of the form  $\mathcal{S}^\perp$ , where  $\mathcal{S}$  is a set of strongly finitely presented modules of bounded projective dimension.*

Let  $\mathcal{S}_T := \mathcal{A}_T \cap \text{mod-}R$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_T := \varinjlim \mathcal{S}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}_T$  is the class of all direct summands of  $\mathcal{S}_T$ -filtered modules, and  $\mathcal{A}_T \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{A}}_T$ .

## Some infinite dimensional tilting theory

Theorem (A model-theoretic characterization of right tilting classes)

*Tilting classes are exactly the classes of finite type, i.e., the classes of the form  $\mathcal{S}^\perp$ , where  $\mathcal{S}$  is a set of strongly finitely presented modules of bounded projective dimension.*

Let  $\mathcal{S}_T := \mathcal{A}_T \cap \text{mod-}R$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_T := \varinjlim \mathcal{S}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}_T$  is the class of all direct summands of  $\mathcal{S}_T$ -filtered modules, and  $\mathcal{A}_T \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{A}}_T$ .

### Definition

The tilting module  $T$  is  $\Sigma$ -pure split provided that  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_T = \mathcal{A}_T$ , that is, the left tilting class of  $T$  is closed under direct limits. Equivalently: Each pure embedding  $T_0 \hookrightarrow T_1$  such that  $T_0, T_1 \in \text{Add}(T)$  splits.

## Some infinite dimensional tilting theory

Theorem (A model-theoretic characterization of right tilting classes)

*Tilting classes are exactly the classes of finite type, i.e., the classes of the form  $\mathcal{S}^\perp$ , where  $\mathcal{S}$  is a set of strongly finitely presented modules of bounded projective dimension.*

Let  $\mathcal{S}_T := \mathcal{A}_T \cap \text{mod-}R$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_T := \varinjlim \mathcal{S}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}_T$  is the class of all direct summands of  $\mathcal{S}_T$ -filtered modules, and  $\mathcal{A}_T \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{A}}_T$ .

### Definition

The tilting module  $T$  is  $\Sigma$ -pure split provided that  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_T = \mathcal{A}_T$ , that is, the left tilting class of  $T$  is closed under direct limits. Equivalently: Each pure embedding  $T_0 \hookrightarrow T_1$  such that  $T_0, T_1 \in \text{Add}(T)$  splits.

### Example

Let  $T = R$ . Then  $T$  is a tilting module of projective dimension 0, and  $T$  is  $\Sigma$ -pure split, iff  $R$  is a right perfect ring.



# Locally free modules and tilting

# Locally free modules and tilting

## The setting

Let  $R$  be a countable ring, and  $T$  be a non- $\Sigma$ -pure-split tilting module. Let  $\mathcal{F}_T$  be the class of all countably presented modules from  $\mathcal{A}_T$ , and  $\mathcal{L}_T$  the class of all locally  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -free modules.

# Locally free modules and tilting

## The setting

Let  $R$  be a countable ring, and  $T$  be a non- $\Sigma$ -pure-split tilting module. Let  $\mathcal{F}_T$  be the class of all countably presented modules from  $\mathcal{A}_T$ , and  $\mathcal{L}_T$  the class of all locally  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -free modules.

## Theorem (Slávik-T.)

*Assume that  $\mathcal{L}_T \subseteq \mathcal{P}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_T$  is closed under direct summands, and  $M \in \mathcal{L}_T$  whenever  $M \subseteq L \in \mathcal{L}_T$  and  $L/M \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}_T$ . Then the class  $\mathcal{L}_T$  is not precovering.*

# Locally free modules and tilting

## The setting

Let  $R$  be a countable ring, and  $T$  be a non- $\Sigma$ -pure-split tilting module. Let  $\mathcal{F}_T$  be the class of all countably presented modules from  $\mathcal{A}_T$ , and  $\mathcal{L}_T$  the class of all locally  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -free modules.

## Theorem (Slávik-T.)

*Assume that  $\mathcal{L}_T \subseteq \mathcal{P}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_T$  is closed under direct summands, and  $M \in \mathcal{L}_T$  whenever  $M \subseteq L \in \mathcal{L}_T$  and  $L/M \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}_T$ . Then the class  $\mathcal{L}_T$  is not precovering.*

## Corollary

*If  $R$  is countable and non-right perfect, then  $\mathcal{FM}$  is not precovering.*

# Finite dimensional hereditary algebras

# Finite dimensional hereditary algebras

## The setting

Let  $R$  be an indecomposable hereditary artin algebra of infinite representation type, with the Auslander-Reiten translation  $\tau := D\text{Ext}_R^1(-, R)$ . Then there is a partition of  $\text{ind-}R$  into three sets:

$q$  ... the indecomposable preinjective modules  
(= indecomposable injectives and their  $\tau$ -shifts),

$p$  ... the indecomposable preprojective modules  
(= indecomposable projectives and their  $\tau^-$ -shifts),

$t$  ... the regular modules (the rest).

# Finite dimensional hereditary algebras

## The setting

Let  $R$  be an indecomposable hereditary artin algebra of infinite representation type, with the Auslander-Reiten translation  $\tau := D\text{Ext}_R^1(-, R)$ . Then there is a partition of  $\text{ind-}R$  into three sets:

$q$  ... the indecomposable preinjective modules  
(= indecomposable injectives and their  $\tau$ -shifts),

$p$  ... the indecomposable preprojective modules  
(= indecomposable projectives and their  $\tau^-$ -shifts),

$t$  ... the regular modules (the rest).

Then  $p^\perp$  is a right tilting class (and  $M \in p^\perp$ , iff  $M$  has no non-zero direct summands from  $p$ ).

# Finite dimensional hereditary algebras

## The setting

Let  $R$  be an indecomposable hereditary artin algebra of infinite representation type, with the Auslander-Reiten translation  $\tau := D\text{Ext}_R^1(-, R)$ . Then there is a partition of  $\text{ind-}R$  into three sets:

$q$  ... the indecomposable preinjective modules  
(= indecomposable injectives and their  $\tau$ -shifts),

$p$  ... the indecomposable preprojective modules  
(= indecomposable projectives and their  $\tau^-$ -shifts),

$t$  ... the regular modules (the rest).

Then  $p^\perp$  is a right tilting class (and  $M \in p^\perp$ , iff  $M$  has no non-zero direct summands from  $p$ ).

The tilting module  $L$  inducing  $p^\perp$  is called the **Lukas tilting module**.  
The left tilting class of  $L$  is the class of all **Baer modules**.



# Non-deconstructibility in the realm of artin algebras

# Non-deconstructibility in the realm of artin algebras

[Angeleri-Kerner-T.'10]

The class of all Baer modules coincides with  $\text{Filt}(p)$ .

The Lukas tilting module  $L$  is countably generated, but has no finite dimensional direct summands, and it is not  $\Sigma$ -pure split.

# Non-deconstructibility in the realm of artin algebras

[Angeleri-Kerner-T.'10]

The class of all Baer modules coincides with  $\text{Filt}(p)$ .

The Lukas tilting module  $L$  is countably generated, but has no finite dimensional direct summands, and it is not  $\Sigma$ -pure split.

Let  $\mathcal{F}_L$  be the class of all countably presented Baer modules.

The elements of  $\mathcal{L}_L$  are called the **locally Baer modules**.

# Non-deconstructibility in the realm of artin algebras

[Angeleri-Kerner-T.'10]

The class of all Baer modules coincides with  $\text{Filt}(p)$ .

The Lukas tilting module  $L$  is countably generated, but has no finite dimensional direct summands, and it is not  $\Sigma$ -pure split.

Let  $\mathcal{F}_L$  be the class of all countably presented Baer modules.

The elements of  $\mathcal{L}_L$  are called the **locally Baer modules**.

Theorem (Slávik-T.)

*If  $R$  is a countable indecomposable hereditary artin algebra of infinite representation type, then the class  $\mathcal{L}_L$  of all locally Baer modules is not precovering (and hence not deconstructible).*